Interview Summary	Application No. Applicant(s		Bromer	
	Examiner Gerald Kl	1	rt Unit 3618	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, P (1) Brian L. Johnson/SPE-AU 3618 USPTO (2) Gerald B. Klebe/Examiner USPTO Date of Interview May 15, 2002	(3) <u>Nicholas E</u> (4)	Bromer/ Invento	or Pro Se	*
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applica Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes MODEL OF INVENTION WAS DEFINED OF THE DISCLOSED INVENTION OF	BUT WAS NOT	CONSIDER	of REPRE	Sandi U
Claim(s) discussed: CCMA . Identification of prior art discussed: US 6053 SII; US 5609 346; US 5 2322 Agreement with respect to the claims f) was real	31] N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general other comments: DISCUSSION OF I) POTEMBE PLENT THE APPLICANTS INFORMAT PROPERTY STRUCTURE, 3) LE-CONSIDERATION	eneral nature of what is MATTER & ADD LOPOSCO ANOW FILATIONS AS A AC LIMITATION ON OF PRIOR A TOWNMENT TO BE	Nas agreed to large larg	ASPECTS OF VENSUS NECE ATOM: TO F IN LIGHT	D TO PRIOR ART DF
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, who available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (Substance of the Interview.)	e amendments which there no copy of the amenda a separate record of the EFORMAL WRITTEN R	ne examiner agendments that we substance of EPLY TO THE 1.04). If a reply	reed would render would render the fithe interview (if LAST OFFICE ACT to the last Office of the last Office	the claims claims allowable box is checked). TION MUST e action has
·			13 Dele	

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required